

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,523	04/01/2004	Michael J. Connolly	P/3571-3	1573	
	7590 08/07/2007 FABER GERB & SOFFEN			EXAMINER	
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			GRAHAM, GARY K		
NEW YORK, 1	NY 100368403		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		·	1744		
		*	<u> </u>		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/815,523	CONNOLLY, MICHAEL J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary K. Graham	1744			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 14-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 10-13 and 16 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
	application from the International Bureau	•	ed in this National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	ed.			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview Own	(PTO 412)			
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) 🔲 Infor	,					
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:	•			

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, line 1, there is no antecedent basis for "each end".

In claim 9, line 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the ends".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rohrbaugh (US patent 4,422,208).

Art Unit: 1744

The patent to Rohrbaugh discloses the invention as is claimed, including a brushless wire cleaner (10, figs.3,6) comprising a base plate (17), two side members (24) arranged on the base plate a distance from one another, a cover (18) extending from one side member to the other so that the base plate, side members and cover together define a channel in which a synthetic thermoplastics cleaning element (14) is received. A tension spring mechanism (26) is arranged to slide the cleaning element through the channel and into engagement with the wire cable. The cleaning element (14) has a slot (34) that receives the spring therein. The base plate is mounted to a frame (12) for support thereon. The frame includes a platform with leg members thereon (not numbered but shown, see fig.2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rohrbaugh (US patent 4,422,208).

The patent to Rohrbaugh discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of the cleaning element being of polystyrene, the base plate being different size than the cover and the base plate being bolted to the frame.

Art Unit: 1744

While Rohrbaugh does not disclose the cleaning element being of polystyrene he does disclose the use of plastics. To employ a different material from Rohrbaugh would be well within that which one of skill in the art would find obvious. Merely selecting a different known material to make the cleaning element, the selection of the plastics being on the basis of suitability for the intended use, would be entirely obvious, lacking some criticality of the plastics.

While Rohrbaugh discloses the base and cover as being the same size, to vary such does not appear to involve invention. Such does not appear significant or anything more than one of numerous configurations a person of ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of providing a base and cover in the cleaner.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10, 11, 12, 13 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K. Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys J. Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000,

Gary K Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

**GKG** 05 August 2007